Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/766,574	RABINOWITZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mina Haghighatian	1616
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Mina Haghighatian.	(3)	
(2) <u>Barry Swanson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 September 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 31, 33, 37-38, 44-53		
Prior art documents discussed:		·
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		·
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Minall		
(Examiner/SPE-Signature) (Applicant)	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature - if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned Mr. Swanson to discuss a potential new matter issue with the specification and certain claims. It was suggested that the particle size range of claims 31 and 37 should be changed to read "0.1 to 5 microns" and to add the term "about" before "0.2" and "3" in claims 33, 38 and 44-53. Specification was to be amended to include the same particle size range. Mr. Swanson agreed to all suggestions and it was decided to have the amendments done by an Examiner's Amendment (attached).